

Volume 14, n 1, 2026

**Editorial**

**Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Psychology: Advancing Evidence While Challenging Foundations**

*Emanuele Maria Merlo*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morphofunctional Imaging, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

E-mail: [emerlo@unime.it](mailto:emerlo@unime.it)



**Keywords:**

AI; Artificial intelligence; Clinical psychology; Multifactorial research; Technological advancements.

**Received:** 20 April 2026

**Accepted:** 29 April 2026

**Published:** 30 April 2026

**Citation:** Merlo, E. M. (2026). Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Psychology: Advancing Evidence While Challenging Foundations. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology* 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-5420>

Contemporary clinical psychology is grounded in the recognition that psychological suffering does not emerge from isolated causal pathways, but from the dynamic interplay of dispositional, cognitive-affective, relational, contextual, and health-related factors. Empirical evidence consistently supports this multifactorial perspective, indicating that the onset of mental disorders, including depression, is associated with constellations of interacting vulnerabilities rather than with single explanatory mechanisms (Fu et al., 2021). In parallel, dimensional models of psychopathology have reinforced the need to conceptualise clinical phenomena in terms of gradients and transdiagnostic processes, thereby moving beyond reductionist categorical frameworks (Hopwood et al., 2023).

This perspective is particularly relevant in contexts characterised by chronicity and complexity, where psychological functioning reflects the continuous interaction between individual and systemic variables. Evidence from the clinical psychology of chronic illness illustrates how personality processes, emotion regulation, and psychosocial resources converge in shaping adaptation and clinical outcomes (Dekker & de Groot, 2018; Di Giuseppe & Conversano, 2022; Di Giuseppe et al., 2025; Guarino et al., 2025; Helgeson & Zajdel, 2017; Jiakponna et al., 2024). Accordingly, clinical psychology is inherently multidisciplinary, requiring the integration of

knowledge across complementary domains and a constant refinement of empirical evidence to support clinically responsible decision making (Merlo, 2025).

Within this framework, the capacity to collect, organise, and interpret complex data assumes a central role. Advances in information science have increasingly informed the analysis of clinical data, particularly in relation to large and heterogeneous datasets. Artificial intelligence and machine learning, in this respect, provide methodological tools capable of modelling non-linear associations and identifying patterns that may not be accessible through traditional approaches (Woo et al., 2024). More broadly, these technologies are contributing to a reconfiguration of the relationship between behaviour, cognition, and technological mediation within psychological science (Brailas, 2025; Chen et al., 2024).

The relevance of artificial intelligence to clinical psychology therefore lies in its potential to augment, rather than replace, clinical judgement. By supporting the processing of large-scale data and enhancing the identification of clinically meaningful signals, AI-based systems may contribute to more precise assessment and more personalised care pathways (Ara & Mifa, 2024; Badawy, 2023). At the same time, their integration into healthcare systems has been associated with improvements in diagnostic efficiency and treatment planning, albeit under conditions that require methodological transparency and appropriate professional oversight (Faiyazuddin et al., 2025). Emerging evidence further suggests that AI-supported psychotherapeutic interventions may yield measurable benefits, while also underscoring the need for rigorous evaluation prior to their widespread adoption (Lau et al., 2025; Prigent et al., 2025).

However, these developments also introduce a set of risks that challenge both the clinical and epistemological foundations of psychological practice. Such risks are not peripheral but structurally embedded in the ways artificial intelligence systems are developed, implemented, and integrated into mental healthcare. Reviews consistently highlight that current applications remain affected by methodological, clinical, and ethical limitations that constrain their reliability and scope (Ali et al., 2025; Rezaei et al., 2026).

A first critical domain concerns the impact of algorithmic bias on diagnostic processes. Machine learning models may reflect distortions originating from training data, modelling choices, or systemic inequities, thereby increasing the likelihood of misclassification and reinforcing disparities in mental health assessment (Mavrogiorgos et al., 2024; Sasseville et al., 2025; Timmons et al., 2023). Given the interpretative nature of diagnosis, such biases are not merely technical limitations, but clinically consequential distortions that require continuous human oversight (Singhal et al., 2024; Zheng & Zhang, 2025). This concern is further compounded by

persistent methodological weaknesses in the field, including issues related to validation, reproducibility, and generalisability (Higgins et al., 2023; Tornero-Costa et al., 2023).

Concerning psychopathology, the interaction between individuals and digital environments introduces additional sources of vulnerability. Cyberchondria exemplifies how repetitive information seeking within digitally mediated contexts may amplify anxiety and destabilise cognitive and emotional regulation (Martino et al., 2026). In parallel, the increasing use of conversational agents capable of simulating relational engagement raises the possibility of psychological dependency and maladaptive attachment. These interactions may foster forms of emotional reliance and parasocial involvement that resemble behavioural addictions, thereby extending the scope of technology-related vulnerabilities within clinical populations (Song, 2026).

Concerns regarding patient safety further underscore the limits of current systems. Artificial intelligence-based tools may fail to adequately detect or manage acute clinical risk, particularly in the presence of suicidal ideation or severe affective dysregulation. Limitations in contextual interpretation and risk escalation mechanisms may result in delayed or inappropriate responses, highlighting the necessity of maintaining clear clinical boundaries and ensuring the primacy of human responsibility in critical decision making (Ali et al., 2025; Rezaei et al., 2026).

Beyond these clinical dimensions, the integration of artificial intelligence also raises broader epistemic and relational questions. The increasing reliance on rapid, AI-mediated information may promote forms of knowledge acquisition that prioritise immediacy over depth, with potential consequences for critical thinking and the development of clinical expertise. This shift is not merely procedural but reflects a transformation in how knowledge is constructed and validated within clinical psychology.

Furthermore, the implications for the therapeutic relationship warrant particular attention. Although artificial systems may simulate empathic responses, such forms of interaction remain fundamentally distinct from the embodied and intersubjective processes that characterise human therapeutic engagement. Evidence suggests that digital therapeutic alliances, while possible, are typically more limited in depth and stability, raising concerns regarding their capacity to support complex clinical change (Malik & Srivastava, 2025; Malouin-Lachance et al., 2025). More broadly, the increasing mediation of care through artificial systems introduces the risk of a gradual attenuation of relational depth, with implications for the humanisation of both assessment and intervention (Merlo et al., 2026).

These considerations are further compounded by issues of privacy, data protection, and ethical accountability. The use of artificial intelligence in mental health entails the processing of highly sensitive psychological data, raising concerns related to confidentiality, security, and informed

consent (Martin & Zimmermann, 2024). At the same time, the attribution of responsibility in cases of error or harm remains insufficiently defined, pointing to the need for clearer regulatory and ethical frameworks (Ohu et al., 2025; Tavory, 2024). In this sense, the integration of artificial intelligence into clinical psychology cannot be reduced to a technical innovation but must be understood as a transformation that requires sustained ethical reflection and a reaffirmation of patient-centred principles.

In conclusion, the integration of artificial intelligence into clinical psychology represents neither a purely technological advancement nor a neutral extension of existing practices, but a transformation that directly engages the epistemological, clinical, and ethical foundations of the discipline. While artificial intelligence offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance the evidential basis of assessment and intervention, its limitations highlight the enduring centrality of clinical judgement, relational processes, and contextual understanding. The challenge, therefore, is not to determine whether artificial intelligence should be adopted, but to define the conditions under which it can be integrated without compromising the integrity of clinical practice. This requires a critical and reflexive approach, grounded in methodological rigour and guided by a sustained commitment to patient-centred care. In this sense, the future of clinical psychology will depend not only on technological innovation, but on the discipline's capacity to govern its use with responsibility, discernment, and theoretical clarity.

### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any potential conflict of interest.

## References

1. Ali, M., Ali, S., Abbas, Q., Abbas, Z., & Lee, S. W. (2025). Artificial intelligence for mental health: A narrative review of applications, challenges, and future directions in digital health. *Digital Health, 11*, 20552076251395548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076251395548>
2. Ara, A., & Mifa, A. F. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence and big data in mobile health: a systematic review of innovations and challenges in healthcare systems. *Global Mainstream Journal of Business, Economics, Development & Project Management, 3*(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.62304/jbedpm.v3i01.70>
3. Badawy, M. (2023). Integrating artificial intelligence and big data into smart healthcare systems: A comprehensive review of current practices and future directions. *Artificial Intelligence Evolution, 133-153*. <https://doi.org/10.37256/aie.4220232980>
4. Brailas, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence in qualitative research: Beyond outsourcing data analysis to the machine. *Psychology International, 7*(3), 78. <https://doi.org/10.3390/psychoint7030078>
5. Chen, D., Liu, Y., Guo, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2024). The revolution of generative artificial intelligence in psychology: The interweaving of behavior, consciousness, and ethics. *Acta Psychologica, 251*, 104593. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2024.104593>
6. Dekker, J., & de Groot, V. (2018). Psychological adjustment to chronic disease and rehabilitation—an exploration. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 40*(1), 116-120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2016.1247469>
7. Di Giuseppe, M., & Conversano, C. (2022). Psychological components of chronic diseases: the link between defense mechanisms and alexithymia. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, 10*(3). <https://doi.org/10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-3602>
8. Di Giuseppe, M., Spatola, C., Maria Merlo, E., Silvestro, O., Mario Giorgianni, C., Juli, G., Catalano, A., & Martino, G. (2025). Research advances in clinical psychology of chronic diseases. *Psychiatria Danubina, 37*(suppl 1), 56-62.
9. Faiyazuddin, M., Rahman, S. J. Q., Anand, G., Siddiqui, R. K., Mehta, R., Khatib, M. N., Gaidhane, G., Zahiruddin, Q. S., Hissai, A., & Sah, R. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare: a comprehensive review of advancements in diagnostics, treatment, and operational efficiency. *Health science reports, 8*(1), e70312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.70312>
10. Fu, Z., Brouwer, M., Kennis, M., Williams, A., Cuijpers, P., & Bockting, C. (2021). Psychological factors for the onset of depression: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *BMJ open, 11*(7), e050129. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050129>
11. Guarino, D., Martino, G., Juli, G., & Di Giuseppe, M. (2025). Personality and Emotion Regulation in Chronic Illness. *Psychiatria Danubina, 37*(suppl 1), 39-45.
12. Helgeson, V. S., & Zajdel, M. (2017). Adjusting to chronic health conditions. *Annual Review of Psychology, 68*, 545-571. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044014>
13. Higgins, O., Short, B. L., Chalup, S. K., & Wilson, R. L. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) based decision support systems in mental health: An integrative review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 32*(4), 966-978. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.13114>
14. Hopwood, C. J., Morey, L. C., & Markon, K. E. (2023). What is a psychopathology dimension?. *Clinical psychology review, 106*, 102356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2023.102356>

15. Jiakponna, E. C., Agbomola, J. O., Ipede, O., Karakitie, L., Ogunsina, A. J., Adebayo, K. T., & Tinuoye, M. O. (2024). Psychosocial factors in chronic disease management: Implications for health psychology. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 12(02), 117-128.  
<https://doi.org/10.30574/ijra.2024.12.2.1219>
16. Lau, Y., Ang, W. H. D., Ang, W. W., Pang, P. C. I., Wong, S. H., & Chan, K. S. (2025). Artificial intelligence-based psychotherapeutic intervention on psychological outcomes: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Depression and Anxiety*, 2025(1), 8930012. <https://doi.org/10.1155/da/8930012>
17. Malik, S., & Srivastava, P. (2025). Impact of artificial intelligence on the therapeutic alliance in mental health care: A narrative review. *AI and Sustainable Transformations*, 189-194.  
<https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003642886-26>
18. Malouin-Lachance, A., Capolupo, J., Laplante, C., & Hudon, A. (2025). Does the digital therapeutic alliance exist? Integrative review. *JMIR Mental Health*, 12, e69294. <https://doi.org/10.2196/69294>
19. Martin, K. D., & Zimmermann, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence and its implications for data privacy. *Current opinion in psychology*, 58, 101829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2024.101829>
20. Martino, G., Giacobello, M. L., Silvestro, O., Meduri, A., Sparacino, G., Gangemi, S., & Vicario, C. M. (2026). Cyberchondria and complexity: a systems-level exploration of anxiety and informational instability in the digital age. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 17, 1794803. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1794803>
21. Mavrogiorgos, K., Kiourtis, A., Mavrogiorgou, A., Menychtas, A., & Kyriazis, D. (2024). Bias in machine learning: A literature review. *Applied Sciences*, 14(19), 8860. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14198860>
22. Merlo, E. M. (2025). A Reflection on the Complex and Multifactorial Outcomes Underlying Mental Health and Related Alterations. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 13(2).  
<https://doi.org/10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-4988>
23. Merlo, E. M., Sparacino, G., Silvestro, O., Giacobello, M., Meduri, A., Casciaro, M., Gangemi, S., & Martino, G. (2026). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Shaping the Doctor–Patient Relationship: A Narrative Review. *Healthcare*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare14040481>
24. Ohu, F. C., Burrell, D. N., & Jones, L. A. (2025). Public Health Risk Management, Policy, and Ethical Imperatives in the Use of AI Tools for Mental Health Therapy. *Healthcare*, 13(21).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13212721>
25. Prigent, J., Chung, V. H. A., El Adib, I., Désilets, M., & Hudon, A. (2025). Applications of artificial intelligence in psychiatry and psychology education: scoping review. *JMIR Medical Education*, 11, e75238.  
<https://doi.org/10.2196/75238>
26. Rezaei, Z., Khorraminia, A., Shi, D., & Banad, Y. M. (2026). Network-based artificial intelligence in mental healthcare: A systematic review of chatbots, artificial intelligence/machine learning models and ethical considerations in global healthcare networks. *Digital Health*, 12, 20552076261421688.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076261421688>
27. Sasseville, M., Ouellet, S., Rhéaume, C., Sahliá, M., Couture, V., Després, P., Pasquette, J.-S., Darmon, D., Bergeron, F., & Gagnon, M. P. (2025). Bias mitigation in primary health care artificial intelligence models: scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27, e60269. <https://doi.org/10.2196/60269>

28. Singhal, S., Cooke, D. L., Villareal, R. I., Stoddard, J. J., Lin, C. T., & Dempsey, A. G. (2024). Machine learning for mental health: applications, challenges, and the clinician's role. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 26(12), 694-702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-024-01561-w>
29. Song, Z. (2026). Ethical Challenges in AI Emotional Interaction: Mechanisms of Emotional Dependence and Governance Pathways. *Journal of Psychology & Education*, 1(2), 27-27. <https://doi.org/10.66581/6d1kt879>
30. Tavory, T. (2024). Regulating AI in mental health: ethics of care perspective. *JMIR Mental Health*, 11(1), e58493. <https://doi.org/10.2196/58493>
31. Timmons, A. C., Duong, J. B., Simo Fiallo, N., Lee, T., Vo, H. P. Q., Ahle, M. W., Comer, J. S., Brewer, L. C., Fraizer, S. L., & Chaspari, T. (2023). A call to action on assessing and mitigating bias in artificial intelligence applications for mental health. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 18(5), 1062-1096. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916221134490>
32. Tomero-Costa, R., Martinez-Millana, A., Azzopardi-Muscat, N., Lazeri, L., Traver, V., & Novillo-Ortiz, D. (2023). Methodological and quality flaws in the use of artificial intelligence in mental health research: systematic review. *JMIR Mental Health*, 10(1), e42045. <https://doi.org/10.2196/42045>
33. Woo, S. E., Tay, L., & Oswald, F. (2024). Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data: Improvements to the science of people at work and applications to practice. *Personnel Psychology*, 77(4), 1387-1402. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12643>
34. Zheng, H., & Zhang, X. (2025). Psychiatry in the age of AI: transforming theory, practice, and medical education. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1660448. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1660448>



©2026 by the Author(s); licensee Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, Messina, Italy. This article is an open access article, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, Vol. 14, No. 1 (2026). International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).  
**DOI:** 10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-5420